

Case No. : SIC/KPM 270/2022
Complainant/ Appellant : Sri Harinarayan Pathak
Respondent : SPIO, Political Department, Dispur, Guwahati 06
Date of Hearing : 30/06/2022
Complaint/ Second : Dr. A.P.Rout,
Appeal heard by State Chief Information Commissioner, Assam

O R D E R
30/06/2022

1. The petitioner filed one RTI petition before the SPIO, General Administration (Secretariat Establishment) Department, Dispur, Guwahati on 20.1.2022 seeking following information:
 - (i) Copy of the official re-employment order/notification, in respect of the Ex-Secretariat Employee(s), Govt. of Assam who is/are Re employed as the OSD, Political Department, Govt. of Assam after her/his/ their respective superannuation from regular Govt. service.
 - (ii) Copy of allotment of works in favor of each of the O.S.D. as referred to at the query no.(i) above.
 - (iii) Complete File Notings together with relevant public documents leading to the official re employment order/notification as referred to at the query no (i) above.
2. The RTI petition was forwarded to the SPIO of Political Department, Dispur on 8.3.2022 for furnishing the information to the petitioner.
3. On not being satisfied with the information received, the petitioner filed 1st appeal before the 1st Appellate Authority, Home & Political Department, Dispur, Guwahati on 16.4.2022.
4. The First Appellate Authority of Political Department, Dispur has passed an order on 13.5.2022 stating the relevant information has already been provided to the petitioner and no further action is necessary.
5. The petitioner then filed 2nd appeal before the Commission on 2.6.2022 and accordingly the matter was taken up for hearing.
6. The petitioner Sri Harinarayan Pathak is present.
7. The Public Authority is represented by Sri Dibakar Swargiary, Under Secretary & PIO i/c, Political Department and he is authorized by Sri Tapash Gupta, Under Secretary & SPIO, Political Department.
8. **The petitioner was asked that the information as per his RTI petition has already been furnished then what is his reason for filing 2nd appeal petition.**

In response to that the petitioner mentioned about one particular person namely Biju Baruah and he wants the information about that particular person.
9. However, in going through the RTI petition, it was noticed that the reply was furnished as per the petition. If he wanted any specific information he should have mentioned it clearly in his RTI petition instead of mentioning different names.
10. The petitioner is also been pointed out by the Commission that he should be specific in his RTI petition and what he wants in the petition should also be clearly understandable to the SPIO so that he can get correct reply.

11. Since the petitioner is a habitual one and today itself, three of his 2nd appeal hearings are taken up, he is advised to take above comment of the Commission seriously if he wants to file any 2nd appeal petition in future.

He should be careful and clear in filing RTI petition/1st appeal and 2nd appeal petition.

12. In light of the above, the case is disposed off.

Authenticated copies to be given to all concerned.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.P. Rout)

Authenticated true copy.

Sd/-
Registrar
Assam Information Commission

Memo No. SIC/KPM 270/2022

Dtd. 30.6.2022

Copy to:

1. The SPIO, Political Department, Dispur, Guwahati 06 Assam for information.
2. The petitioner Harinarayan Pathak, Padumpukhuri, Uzanbazar, Guwahati 01 for information.
3. Computer Section for uploading in the Website.
4. Office File.
5. Order Book.

Registrar
Assam Information Commission