



**OFFICE OF THE
ASSAM INFORMATION COMMISSION
JONAKEE COMPLEX, PANJABARI, GUWAHATI-781037**

Case No. : SIC/KP (M).264/2021
Complainant/ appellant : Sri Harinarayan Pathak.
Respondent : SPIO, Assam Industrial Infrastructure Dev. Corporation
Date of hearing : 8.10.2021
Complaint/ Second : Dr. A.P. Rout.
Appeal heard by : State Chief Information Commissioner, Assam

O R D E R
08.10.2021

1. The petitioner Sri Harinarayan Pathak has filed RTI petition dated 01.12.2020 before the SPIO, Industries & Commerce Department seeking following information

(i) Copy of NOTIFICATION, published in the GAZETTE OF ASSAM in terms under Sub-Section (o) Section 2 of AIIDC Act, 1990 (Assam Act No.1 Of 1991), being notified by the Govt. of ASSAM INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT, Dispur u/s 46 of the AIIDC Act, 1990 (ASSAM ACT No.1 of 1991). However, the Undersecretary to Govt. of Assam, Industrial & Commerce Department transferred the RTI petition to the Managing Director & SPIO of Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation.

2. In the mean time the SPIO, AIIDC has been furnished the information available in their office vide letter No. AIIDC/3084/2020/1284 dated 22.12.2020. He also informed the petitioner that notification being published in the Gazette of Assam in terms under sub-section (o) of section 2 of the AIIDC Act, 1990 (Assam Act no.1 of 1991) is not available in their office. The sought for information has been furnished to the petitioner within 30 days from the date of the RTI petition. After that the petitioner submitted a reminder to the SPIO of AIIDC. It is not known why the petitioner has submitted a reminder and the motive of sending the reminder is also doubtful because there is no provision of reminder in Act. If the petitioner was not satisfied with the information furnished to him he should have filed first appeal petition. Hence, after getting the information from the SPIO, AIIDC the motive and agenda behind sending the reminder is doubtful and best known to him.

3. Then the petitioner filed first appeal petition dated 05.07.2021 before the First Appellate Authority of AIIDC. Then the petitioner filed second appeal petition dated 16.8.2021 before the Commission. Accordingly the date of hearing is fixed today.

4. The petitioner Sri Harinarayan Pathak is present. The public authority i.e. the SPIO of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Dev. Authority Sri Ritumoni Panchani, General Manager is also present. In the hearing the petitioner Sri Pathak has submitted a petition dated 08.10.2021 doubting the authenticity of Shri Ritumoni Panchami as employee and SPIO, AIIDC. While asked by the Commission, the petitioner could not explain what he meant by it the above insuation against the SPIO, he could not explain.

5. Since, the SPIO & General Manager (Civil) AIIDC has submitted a written statement dated 7.10.2021 stating that the information as available in their officer has already been furnished to the petitioner, the Commission accepts the written statement of the SPIO.

6. The second appeal petition dated 16.8.2021 is disposed off.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.P. Rout)

Authenticated true copy.

Sd/-
Secretary & Registrar
Assam Information Commission

Memo No. SIC/ KP(M).264/2021

Dtd. 08.10.2021

Copy to:

1. The SPIO, Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation. Industrial Estate, Bamunimaidam, Guwahati-21 for information.
2. Sri First Appellate Authority of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (AIIDC), Industrial Estate, Bamunimaidam, Guwahati-21 for information.
3. Sri Harinarayan Pathak, Padumpukhuri, Uzanbazar, Guwahati-01, Assam for information.
4. Computer Section for uploading in the Website.
5. Office File.
6. Order Book.

Secretary & Registrar
Assam Information Commission