



**OFFICE OF THE
ASSAM INFORMATION COMMISSION
JONAKEE COMPLEX, PANJABARI, GUWAHATI-781037**

Case No. : SIC/KP(M)132/2020
Complainant/ appellant : Sri Harinarayan Pathak.
Respondent : SPIO of the Office of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Dev.
Corporation Industrial Estate.
Date of hearing : 19.9.2020
Complaint/ Second : Dr. A.P. Rout, IPS (Retd.)
Appeal heard by : State Chief Information Commissioner, Assam

O R D E R
19.9.2020

1. The petitioner Sri Harinarayan Pathak is present. The public authority i.e. the SPIO of the Office of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation (AIIDC) Sri Ritumoni Pachani is present.
2. The First Appellate Authority as well as the SPIO of the AIIDC have submitted two separate written statements dated 18.9.2020 giving the sequences of action taken by them in response the petitioner's RTI petition dated 6.7.2019. The written statements are entered into the case records. The SPIO of AIIDC has mentioned in his WS about the harassment by RTI petition by filing numerous unscrupulous RTI petitions of similar nature in different point of time which is not at all explicable.
3. On perusal of the case records, it is seen that the petitioner Sri Harinarayan Pathak has filed an RTI petition dated 6.7.2019 before the SPIO of the Office of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Dev. Corporation. In response to which the SPIO of the AIIDC has sent a reply to the petitioner on 5.8.2019. But the petitioner was not satisfied with the reply/decision sent by the SPIO and filed a first appellate petition dated 13.8.2019. The First Appellate Authority of AIIDC passed an order dated 24.10.2019 where it is mentioned that the Official file noting of the related pages were shown to the appellant and the appellant was agrees with the same and in regard to point No. 4 of the RTI petition dated 6.7.2019, it was explained to the appellant that the action of the said Public Document is under process and yet to be finalized. The appellant was also satisfied with the records shown to him.
4. While asked by the Commission, the petitioner repeated that he is not satisfied with reply of SPIO and FAA continued speaking irrelevant and unrelated things and interrupted the Commission apparently due to his hearing problem/ defective hearing instrument.
5. In light of the this, the second appeal petition dated 12.3.2020 is disposed off with a direction to the petitioner that he may file a separate specific RTI petition before the SPIO if he has any confusion with the information furnished by the SPIO of AIIDC.
6. He has further been advised to get his hearing problem corrected otherwise the Commission will take the hearing without listening to his irrelevant intervention.

7. The Commission also directs the SPIO of AIIDC to submit a specific and substantiated report on his allegation against the RTI petitioner.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.P. Rout)

Authenticated true copy.

Sd/-
Registrar i/c
Assam Information Commission

Memo No. SIC/ KP(M)132/2020

Dtd. 19.9.2020

Copy to:

1. Sri Ritumoni Pachani, SPIO of the Office of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation , Industrial Estate, Bamunimaidam, Ghy-21 for information and necessary action.
2. The First Appellate Authority, Office of the Assam Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation , Industrial Estate, Bamunimaidam, Ghy-21 for information
3. The Appellant, Sri Harinarayan Pathak, Padumpukuri Uzanbazar, Ghy-01 for information.
4. Computer Section for uploading in the Website.
5. Office File.
6. Order Book.

Registrar i/c
Assam Information Commission