



**OFFICE OF THE
ASSAM STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
JONAKEE COMPLEX, PANJABARI, GUWAHATI-781 037**

Case No. : SIC/DHR.27/2017
Complainant : Smt. Nargish Parvin
Respondent : The SPIO, O/o the Superintendent, Industrial Training
Institute, South Salmara, Dhubri
Date of hearing : 11.07.2017
Complaint heard by : Shri Pinuel Basumatary,
State Information Commissioner, Assam

O R D E R
11.07.2017

Shri Prafulla Kr. Rava, Superintendent/SPIO, Industrial Training Institute, South Salmara and Shri Ganesh Shah, Senior Instructor are present. The SPIO submitted a Written Statement dated 09.06.2017.

The Complainant is absent without information.

Perused the case record. It is seen that the Complainant had sought some information from the Superintendent/SPIO, ITI, South Salmara through RTI Application dated 22.12.2016. Some information was furnished through a letter dated 09.01.2017 and the Complainant was requested to inspect the records in the office subject to exemption of disclosure under the RTI Act, 2005 on the ground that the relevant records were voluminous. It was also stated that the cost of photocopying would be Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) approximately and that the Management Committee of the institute depended wholly on loan money and the revenue earned was not adequate. The Applicant did not submit the First Appeal to the First Appellate Authority but submitted a Complaint dated 31.01.2017 to the Commission. She Complained that the contention of the Public Authority regarding bearing of the cost of photocopying from a BPL card holder, and the plea of the exemption from disclosure were not acceptable to her.

Heard the Superintendent and perused the Written Statement. It has been submitted that the Applicant had not responded to the aforementioned request for inspection of records and that there was no negligence on his part in the matter of furnishing of information.

In the absence of the Complainant from today's hearing and any Written Statement from her, the reason for her not going in for inspection of records could not be ascertained. It was not known either as to whether she was still interested in the information.

Considering that the estimated cost of photocopying was substantial for the institute to bear and that the Applicant/Complainant did not visit the office for inspection of records, a provision made in the said Act, the Commission hereby determines that the instant Complaint is not tenable and therefore the same is dismissed.

Sd/-
Pinuel Basumatary
State Information Commissioner, Assam
Panjabari, Guwahati

Authenticated true copy

Sd/-
Joint Registrar
Assam State Information Commission

Memo No. SIC/DHR.27 /2017/

Dtd. 11.07.2017

Copy to:

1. The SPIO, O/o the Superintendent, Industrial Training Institute, South Salmara, Alomganj, Dhubri, Assam for information and necessary action.
2. The Complainant, Smt. Nargish Parvin, D/o- Lt. Idrish Ali Sarkar, Vill & PO- Asharkandi, Pin- 783 331, Dhubri, Assam for information.
3. Computer Section for uploading in the Website.
4. Office File.

Joint Registrar
Assam State Information Commission