



**OFFICE OF THE
ASSAM INFORMATION COMMISSION
JONAKKEE COMPLEX, PANJABARI, GUWAHATI-781 037**

Case No. : SIC/KMJ.11/2015
Appellant : Sri Suman Roy
Respondent : The SPIO, O/o the Principal, Silchar Polytechnic, Meherpur, Silchar
Date of hearing : 03.06.2016
Second Appeal heard by : Shri Pinuel Basumatary,
State Information Commissioner, Assam

O R D E R
03.06.2016

The SPIO absent. However, a Written Statement dated 01.06.2016 received from SPIO.

The Appellant present.

Perused the W/S. It has been submitted that the information had been provided to the Appellant through a letter dated 17.02.2014. A copy of that letter and also an annexure providing fresh parawise information with reference to the RTI Application is enclosed with the W/S.

A copy of the W/S handed over to the Appellant.

Heard the Appellant. He stated that the information he had received through the aforementioned letter was incomplete and misleading. On being shown the parawise response to the RTI Application now enclosed with the W/S, the Appellant stated that the response to most of the items are not acceptable to him as they are either misleading or reflected lack of system and procedure in the matter of execution of work and expending of funds in the course of celebration of the golden jubilee of the Silchar Polytechnic, Silchar. He further submitted that the response to point no. 9 as given in the annexure is not clear for the reason that the receipt and payment account submitted by the Principal varied with the receipt and payment account provided to him (Appellant) by the SPIO on 05.01.2012 in response to another RTI Application.

Based on the submission through the W/S by the SPIO and submission of the Appellant, the Commission is of the view that information as available had been provided to the Appellant partly through the letter dated 17.02.2014 and partly now through the annexure to the W/S. The Commission also observes that information such as there was no record of depositing of VAT, absence of plan and estimate for construction work are indicative of absence of system and transparency required and expected from a Government institution. However, the Commission concludes that as the SPIO has stated to have provided the information as available, this Appeal proceeding cannot go further and it would be up to the Appellant to seek redressal of his grievance and complaint from other appropriate fora.

With this, the Second Appeal case is disposed of.

Sd/-

Pinuel Basumatary
State Information Commissioner, Assam
Panjabari, Guwahati

Authenticated true copy.

Sd/-
Deputy Registrar
Assam Information Commission

Copy to:

1. The SPIO, Office of the Principal, Silchar Polytechnic, Meherpur, Silchar, Dist- Cachar, Assam, Pin for information.
2. The First Appellate Authority/ Principal, Silchar Polytechnic, Meherpur, Silchar, Dist- Cachar, Assam, Pin for information.
3. Sri Suman Roy, S/o- Lt. Sunil Kr. Roy, Pushpak lane, Ward No. 2, Vill- East Karimganj, Dist- Karimganj, Assam, Pin-788710 for information.
4. Computer Section for uploading in the Website.
5. Office File.

Deputy Registrar
Assam Information Commission